

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,233	233 10/31/2003		Chihaya Adachi	10020/18103	2304
26646	7590	11/25/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON ONE BROA		ON	YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE		
NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1774	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/698,233	ADACHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from stute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 39-60 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 39-60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date rec'd 31 Oct 2003 and /로 Mar ⊇	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 08) 5) Notice of Informal F 2004. 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/698,233 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. The preliminary amendment received October 31, 2003, which amends the specification,

cancels claims 1-38, and adds claims 39-60, has been entered.

Claims 39-60 are pending.

2. Applicant's claim to domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 is acknowledged, but is

unclear.

The preliminary amendment received October 31, 2003 amends the specification to

indicate that the present application is a continuation of U.S. Application No. 09/629,335, filed

August 1, 2000, and claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/207,330. This claim

to priority is consistent with the application transmittal letter received October 31, 2003, and the

filing of a copy of the executed declaration from 09/629,335 as the present declaration.

A claim to domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 was also received on March 03, 2004,

which states that the present application is a CIP of 09/883,734, filed June 18, 2001, which is a

CIP of 09/452,346, filed December 1, 1999, and 09/311,126, filed May 13, 1999. This claim to

priority further states that the present application is a continuation of 09/629,335 and claims the

benefit of 60/207,330. However, the claim to domestic priority based on 09/883,734, 09/452,346

and 09/311,126 was/is not set forth in an application data sheet or in the first sentence of the

specification. Accordingly, applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for

receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 of these three applications.

If applicant desires to claim the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 120, a

specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be

Page 3

included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications.

If the instant application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The

Art Unit: 1774

Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The chemical name in the second line of text on page 5 of the specification does not correspond to the second chemical formula on page 5, but the formula is said to represent the named compound.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application

Art Unit: 1774

claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 39-60 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,645,645 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The present claims and the patented claims are the same except for the following:

Present independent claims 39 and 50 recite "wherein the electron transporting host material has a lowest triplet excited state have a triplet state energy, and wherein the phosphorescent dopant material has a triplet excited state with a triplet state energy that is less than the triplet state energy of the lowest triplet excited state of the electron transporting host material." This language is not in the patent claims, but dependent patent claims claiming specific materials would lead one of ordinary skill in the art to combinations of electron transporting host material and phosphorescent dopant material that inherently meet this limitation.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,233 Page 6

Art Unit: 1774

Present claims 42 and 53 recite "wherein the aryl-substituted oxadiazole comprises 1,3-bis (N,N-t-butyl-phenyl)-1,3,4,-oxadiazole." This language is not in the patent claims. Patent claims 4 and 15 recite "wherein the aryl-substituted oxadiazole comprises a compound represented by" followed by a formula. The formula in patent claims 4 and 15 is equated, on page 5 of the present specification, with "1,3-bis (N,N-t-butyl-phenyl)-1,3,4,-oxadiazole".

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY

November 22, 2005

MARIE YAMNITZKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie R. Yamnitzky

1774